Previous references.
Gen Fin 8 91 1901.

1902.
(Genl Finance)

File No. 64 f 02.
Serial Nos. 1 – 4

Later references.

P 15E

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—4

Part B. ~~for~~

SUBJECT.

Sanction renewed for advance of the other half of the House building advance sanctioned to Sri Kantia Anildar

1 Sl(1) No. D 283 of 18.9.02 from the D.C. Bangalore solicits permission to renew the sanction H 634 of 16.10.02 to do

2 requests him to report whether (1) the half of the advance already has been fully repaid (2) whether it was used for the right purpose & (3) what is the estimate of the cost of the work to be done.

3 ~~G.R.S. 58~~ 58 of 22.12.02 from the same submits the information required H 1430-1 of 30.11.02 &c – ii – [illegible]

4 Sanctions the renewal & fractions of the half advance

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

REVENUE AND GENERAL, DEPARTMENTS.

Short Office Note.

---

*Subject House Building advance to Amildar Sr. Secretariat. Letter No. 658 D/22-12-02. from the Dy Comr. Bangalore Dt.

Note Sec. Genl. Proc No. 3408-9 D/26-10-00 an advance of Rs 2137, equivalent to the Bonus payable on his insurance policy, was sanctioned to Amildar Sr. Secretariat for building a house in the Sadashivanagudi extension of the Bangalore city. One half of the said amount viz Rs 1068-8— was advanced to him on 1st April 1901. To pay the balance of the other half, sanction of Govt is necessary under Article 293 of the Civil Account Code as this is more than one year since the date of sanction. The Dy Comr. now requests that the sanction of Govt may be accorded & recommends that the account drawn be regularised & utilised for the construction of the house that the Rules and Conditions

Submitted for orders 23/1.

What is the probable amount of repayments expected during the year as reported by the Comptr in the case of the Headmaster, Tumkur High school?

VL 24/1/03

Orders The Comptroller's letter No. 3357/327-A/15-12-02 (put up with file 7654) reports that the total recoverable amount in respect of advances towards house building advance granted to 2 persons will be about Rs. 5000/- and no further advance has been sanctioned

VL 24/1/03

Submitted for orders 24/1

The Secy

Orders

The draft may be issued

to us.

VL 28/1/03

requesting renewal of sanction to the Govt. in full of the house building advance sanctioned to

The Dy. Commr
Bangalore

Sir,

With letter No 2830 of 18th Septr 1902 [illegible] Govt. order No 3408-7 dt 26.10.02 in favour of [illegible] Assistant Accountant [illegible] 2137 Equipment allowance [illegible] Rupees payable in [illegible] Rupees [illegible] advance on the Bangalore [illegible] Rupees [illegible] advance [illegible] which has to be applied for [illegible] advance [illegible] declined to grant the balance [illegible] Govt. Order dated [illegible] above Govt. has passed under article 293 of the Civil Account Code [illegible] Accountant General [illegible] Rupees [illegible] Rupees [illegible] Rupees [illegible]

(Rs 1068 " 8 " 0)
abstract drawn
on 1st April 190,
has been fully
repaid & if (no
how much) &
if still due
if not: (2) whether
the amount drawn
has been properly
used for the pur-
pose of the Grant
(3) how much
work remains
to be done
& what is the
estimated
cost thereof.

(a) regarding whether the Bill
will be found sufficient to
refund the amount drawn by
Pass No. 5345/3 of
1897-98
and if not, to what extent
the amount drawn has been
properly utilized and
whether the advance has
applied for is necessary
for completing the Scheme
(b) value of work
which has already been
done and remaining
to be done with
separate details.

Submit
better draft
with the
forms

Re-draft
as further
CP/10/07

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No.
SEP 20 1902
1902/03
A 3-71
SECRETARIAT OFFICE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

From The Asst Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore
Rev: and Ecl Depts

Dated 18th
Despatched 19th Sept 1902.

Received

General No. 283

No.

Enclosure No.

Govt Pro: No 31408 9 of the
H318
26th October 1900.

Requesting sanction for the
renewal of the house building
advance granted to K Srikantiah,
Amildar of the Anekal Taluk
at Seringapatam.

S.R. 20.9.02

Referring to
Section

Bangalore District

Dy. Commissioner's Office

Bangalore 18th September 1902

From,

H. A. Sparkes Esqr

Dy Commissioner

Bangalore District

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr M.A.L.L.

Secy to the Govt of Mysore

Rev: Revl Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that in Government Proceedings No. 3408 9 / H 318 of the 26th October 1900, K Srikantiah, Amildar of the Anekal Taluk now in Seringapatam, was granted an advance of Rs 213 [illegible]

to the Bonus payable on his insurance
policy to enable him to build a house
in the Basavangudi extension of the —
Bangalore city. Out of this, half of the
amount Viz Rs 1068-8-0 was advanced
to him on the 1st April 1901, after —
obtaining from him the necessary bond.
The first half of the advance having
been spent fully, Amildar Srikantaiya
applied for the payment of the 2nd half
of the advance in December last. But the
Treasury Officer declined to pay the —
balance on the ground that the Sanction
of Government conveyed in the above —

proceedings

proceedings as required under
article 293 of the Civil Account Code,
as it is more than one-year since the
date of sanction. I therefore request
that the Sanction of Government may
be kindly renewed, without which, the
balance can not be paid.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

[signature]

Dy Commissioner

Bangalore District

Re-draft

To,

The Deputy Commr.
Bangalore.

H 2

Dated 16th October 1902.

16 D
No. H 634.

His letter No. 283 of 18 September 1902, requesting renewal of sanction to the grant in full of house building advance sanctioned in Govt. Order No. 3408-9 / H 318 of 26.10.00 in favour of Amildar ~~Harihara~~ Srirangapatna ~~to enquire~~ Has the amount to enquire

(1) whether the amount (Rs 1068-8-0) advance drawn on 15th April 1901 has been fully repaid and, if not, how much of it is still due to Govt.:

(2) whether the amount drawn

drawn has been
properly made for
the construction
of the intended
house.

(3) How much work
remains to be
done and what
is the Estimated
Cost thereof.

P. O.

11
13/10/02

for Secretary



1902/03.

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt.
of my Dept
Revenue Dept

Dated 22nd Revenue Dept
Despatched 23rd Dec 1902

Received

General No.
D No. 658.

Enclosure No.

Secy's docket No. H 6340
16th Oct 1902.

Submits information on
certain points in connection
with the grant of house building
advance to Mr Srikantiah,
Amildar of Serugabatam

Refers Revenue
Dept
29/12/02

Jan 1903

D No 658.

Bangalore District
Dy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 22nd December
1902

From,

H A Sparke Esqr
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

The V. Ramiengar Esqr M.A.M.L.
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Revenue Dept

Sir,

With reference to your docket No H 634 of the 16th October 1902, regarding the house building advance of Mr Srikantiah, Amildar of Seringa-patam, I have the honor to state that out of Rs 1068-8-0 advanced, Rs 68-8-0 still remains unpaid.

2. The Amildar Bangalore Taluk reports that

that the amount drawn has been properly utilized for the construction of the house.

3. Stables and Compound wall costing about Rs 1500/- are to be built.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Dy Commissioner

Read:- 8-11
Correspondence ending
with Letter No 658 of 22nd
December 1909 from
the Dy Comr Bangalore
to Govt recommending the
grant of a further
loan advance to
Sri Sree Kanteish Aniel
of Seringapatam Taluk
to enable him to complete his
house in the Basavangudi &tc,
Bungalores
Order thereon.

See Govt Proc No
3408-9 of 26-10-00
of 318
an advance of Rs 2137/,
equivalent to the Bonus
payable on his insurance
Insurance policy has
sanctioned to Aniel
for Sree Kanteish for
building a house in
the Basavangudi Extn
of the Bangalore city.
Half of the
amount, viz Rs 1068-8-0
was advanced to Sreeja
on 18th April 1901. and repaid
in instal mgts.
~~Account has been~~
~~repaid by the Aniel~~
The Dy Comr reports

that the account drawn by her have actually been properly utilized for the Construction of the house, that the Stables and Compound wall Costing about Rs 1500/- are still to be built and that to pay the balance of the other half the Sanction of Govt. is necessary under article 293 of the Civil account-Code as it is more than one year since the date of Sanction. ~~and time for payment that the Sanction of Govt may be granted. to her accordingly Sanctioned.~~

The renewal of Sanction applied for is granted as a special case.

V
21/5/03

30/11/03

To The Director Bangalore
" Superintendent Bagpet